## Florida Department of Education

 PROGRESS REPORTS
## November $11^{\text {th }}$, January $27^{\text {th }}$, and April $28^{\text {th }}$

School Name \& District: S. Bryan Jennings Elementary School
Date:January 27, 2006
Principal: Cheryl Lee Oliver

| SECTION/GOAL/CRITERION | PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING GOAL <br> Describe for each section below what progress the school has made toward accomplishing its school improvement goals. Provide data or other evidence to support the progress to date, including the extent and effect of implementation of strategies identified in the SIP. |
| :---: | :---: |
| QUALIFIED, HIGH QUALITY ADMINSTRATORS | No changes |
| QUALIFIED, HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS | Rex Knight, Eddie Knight, Chris Lannom-Highly qualified Laurie Carver-National Board Certified |
| SCHOOL MATCH | Omit |
| TEACHER MENTORING | SBJ offers new teachers a variety of ways to achieve success. The Principal assigns new teachers either a peer teacher or a mentor to facilitate their transition into teaching and/or a new school. We also have a District Curriculum Specialist and SPRINT teachers to model and assist teachers with lessons, planning and discipline. We had a 2-day Summer Boot Camp for beginning teachers fromTitle One schools and our school also provides a reading coach through our Reading First grant, and a math coach through Title One funds. There are currently 6 teachers mentoring new staff teachers and we have a National Board Certified teacher who is helping to mentor two teachers on staff who are seeking National Board Certification. |
| SCHOOL WIDE IMPROVEMENT MODEL | Omit |
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES | AM computer lab-38 students regularly attend computer lab 4 days a week from 7:20-7:55. Students work on Success Maker software in reading and/or math. Weekly progress reports are printed showing target goals and gains for each student. Eleven level 1 students attend in the AM, 9 at-risk $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders, and 10 students new to SBJ with no previous test scores. $23 \%$ of level 1students attend morning lab, $90 \%$ of level 1students attend after-school tutoring and 23\% of our level 1 students attend both morning and afternoon tutoring. Principal and Assistant principal mentor 6 sixth graders under the Rigorous Reading Requirement. They give children goals and books, and eat lunch with them. They also are mentoring 2 sisters. Donna Brownley is mentoring a $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade retainee as well as three $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students. All $6^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers, administrators and counselors are mentoring all RRR students. Meeting is scheduled with our business partners Transient Personnel Unit (TPU) to expand our mentoring into the community. |
| READING <br> \{Evidence of progress in Reading\} | Our baseline data for reading comes from 2 main sources, DIBELS and the Success Maker computer software. Students in grades 3-6 have been assessed twice for DIBELS in oral reading fluency in 9/05 and 11/05. The following statistics show our average percent of low risk (LR), medium risk (MR), and high risk (HR) students from the September to the November DIBELS testing. Low risk percent stayed the same at $56 \%$ for both tests. Medium risk went from 27 to $30 \%$ and high risk went from 17 to $14 \%$. Our 3-6 sub-group data is as follows: Black (LR) from 51 to $47 \%$, (MR) from 30 to $41 \%$, high risk from 19-12\%. White, (LR) from 57 to 60\%, (MR) from 25 to $29 \%$, (HR) from 17 to $12 \%$. Free/reduced lunch (LR) from 48-50\%, (MR) from 35-37\%, and (HR) from 17$13 \%$. Students with Disabilities, (LR) from 41-60\%, (MR) stayed the same at 35\%, and (HR_from 38-22\%. Data trends when broken down by grade levels show that $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ grades had marked improvement while $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade remained about the same. All data was analyzed and rigorous plans were put into action. The next DIBELS will take place in February 2006. <br> Our second source of evidence is through reports run from the Success Maker computer software. Students began working on this program on October 31. 2005. All students went through an initial placement program to determine individual baselines. Comparable data is based on reports run on January 23, 2006. Grades 3-6 show an overall gain $56.3 \%$ and our Level 1's and 2's along with our lower quartile have shown an overall gain of $56 \%$. The gain in our sub-groups since the initial placement is as follows: Black- $48 \%$, Free/Reduced lunch$48 \%$, White-52\%, and Students with Disabilities-52\%. |
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|  | We will continue with current strategies in as we have seen improved performance in reading based on DIBELS and Success Maker. |
| :---: | :---: |
| MATHEMATICS <br> \{Evidence of progress in Mathematics\} | Our baseline data for math is obtained from Success Maker reports. The initial placement of students began on $10 / 31 / 05$. These reports are run weekly and allow us to monitor students quickly and efficiently. Success Maker gains since initial placement is as follows: grades 3-6 overall show a gain of $52 \%$. The Level 1's and 2's as well as our lower quartile show an overall gain of $34 \%$. The Black, White, and Free/Reduced lunch show a $44 \%$ gain, while Students with Disabilities averaged a 30\% gain. The current data trend for achievement shows that all sub-groups are making progress as well as our lower quartile. |
| WRITING <br> \{Evidence of progress in Writing | Our data for writing comes from a baseline narrative and a baseline expository that were given in August 2005. Comparable narrative and expository writings were done in January 2006. Percentages calculated were based on a 100 point rubric which includes all strategies taught to students for both narrative and expository. Narrative showed a slight decrease from 72 to $70 \%$, while expository showed an increase from 56 to $65 \%$ overall. Though in narrative writing $13 \%$ showed a decrease, and in expository writing $20 \%$ showed a decrease, there was marked improvement in both areas of writing. Narrative showed an increase of $81 \%$ and expository writing showed a $77 \%$ increase. Data trends show that $89 \%$ scored a $50 \%$ or better on the narrative pre-test while $11 \%$ scored $49 \%$ or lower. It also shows that $53 \%$ scored a $50 \%$ or better on the expository pre-test while $47 \%$ scored lower. On the latest writings, $87 \%$ scored a $50 \%$ or above, while $13 \%$ scored $49 \%$ or below in narrative, and $78 \%$ scored a $50 \%$ or above, and $22 \%$ scored a $49 \%$ or lower in expository. Other trends show that although there may have been some decrease, data shows that $22 \%$ showed an increase of $30 \%$ or more on narrative, and $31 \%$ showed an increase of $30 \%$ or more on expository. We will continue to use the strategies to increase the writing skills of our students. Trends may be determined based on what prompts are used on any given day. |
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SCIENCE <br> \{Evidence of progress in Science\} | Science data has been obtained from a general knowledge pre-test issued in $8 / 05$ and a posttest given in 1/06. Overall results show that on the pre-test, $47 \%$ scored at $50 \%$ or above and $53 \%$ scored at $49 \%$ or below. This was compared with the posttest results which showed that $70 \%$ scored at $50 \%$ or above, and only $30 \%$ scored at $49 \%$ or lower. Out of that $70 \%, 48 \%$ scored at $60 \%$ or above. Data trends show that $94 \%$ of students made gains from pre to post test and $100 \%$ of our lower quartile showed an average improvement of $22 \%$. |
| REVISIONS OR UPDATES | None at this time. |

